

























































TERMINAL EVALUATION REPORT - Rating and Recommendations

MANAGEMENT OF SHARED LIVING MARINE RESOURCES IN THE CARIBBEAN AND



UNDP/GEF CLME+ Project Terminal Evaluation

TERMINAL EVALUATION PRELIMINARY FINDINGS shared at last PSC Meeting:

- Provided an outline of initial recommendations and conclusions
- Final report submitted on 31st of March 2021

PROJECT OVERALL RATING: SATISFACTORY



Evaluation Ratings

Monitoring & Evaluation	Rating
(M&E)	
M&E design at entry	Highly Satisfactory
M&E Plan Implementation	Highly Satisfactory
Overall Quality of M&E	Highly Satisfactory

Meeting reports and preparatory documents, including PSC, can be considered best practice:

Implementing Agency (IA) / Implementation &	Rating
Executing Agency (EA) Execution	
Quality of UNDP Implementation/Oversight	Satisfactory
Quality of Implementing Partner Execution	Satisfactory
Overall quality of Implementation/Execution	Satisfactory

• See full report for some main findings on each of the categories



Evaluation Ratings

Assessment of Outcomes	Rating
Relevance	Highly Satisfactory
Effectiveness	Satisfactory
Efficiency	Satisfactory
Overall Project Outcome Rating	Satisfactory

Sustainability	Rating
Financial Resources	Moderately Likely
Socio-political	Likely
Institutional Frameworks and	Likely
governance	
Environmental	Highly Likely
Overall Likelihood of	Moderately Likely
Sustainability	

• See full report for some main findings on each of the categories

Sustainability depends primarily on the results of the ongoing process of internalization by countries and IGOs



Recommendations from the Independent TE

- CLME+ entrusted regional IGOs and CSOs with the formulation of plans, strategies, policy reforms and governance mechanisms and tools called for in the SAP, and delegated their approval/adoption to their governance bodies and processes
- However, the role of countries should not be underestimated
- In order to facilitate country ownership, and the internalization of project outputs, <u>future</u>
 efforts should consider expanding the direct role of countries in project execution, and
 putting in place effective communication mechanisms with and within countries, streamlined
 and implemented according to ad hoc protocols.

PCU comment: draw parallel with transition from ICM (IGO's only) to the new Coordination Mechanism (IGO's + countries, countries = Steering Group)



Recommendations from the Independent TE

- Aligning marine and coastal environmental protection and sustainable approaches to wild capture fisheries is a key aspect of the blue economy and an innovative contribution of the CLME+ project that should be broadly replicated.
- Despite efforts on EBM of fisheries, strengthen RFB's fight against IUU fishing, and support more biodiversity friendly fishing practices, the transition from wild capture fisheries, to "fish farming" and the creation of alternative livelihoods for fisherfolks have not received noticeable attention.
- The promotion of **sustainable aquaculture** should be a relevant part of **future efforts**
- Need for broadening the partnership to include multilateral development banks (CAF, CDB, IDB, The World Bank) and other potential multilateral and bilateral donors - could be beneficial for SAP implementation.



Recommendations from the Independent TE

- Project execution modalities have proven successful, and could serve as example for other multi-country projects. Two elements worth noting:
 - the strong PCU, established by UNOPS
 - the Project Executive Group, tasked with monitoring of progress, coordination, coherence.
- The use of virtual meetings and interactions in response to COVID-19 allowed engagement with stakeholders in countries that had not been originally planned for.
- A project executing partner (GCFI) produced an outstanding strategic document: "Science and
 Research serving effective ocean governance in the wider Caribbean Region". Similar studies should
 guide all efforts to implement the EAF/EBM approaches.